

From: Philip Wagner
To: [Scott Fulton](#)
Cc: [LINDA AITKEN](#); [BJ King](#)
Subject: Building Project Update
Date: Thursday, August 23, 2018 4:18:13 PM
Attachments: [Site Plan.pdf](#)
[Comparison Elevations.pdf](#)
[First Floor Presentation 180801.pdf](#)
[Second Floor Presentation 180801.pdf](#)

Scott,

As a follow up to our discussion at Tuesday's Chamber Meeting, attached are slides I shared with the group. Also, yesterday I talked with a community member who asked about the SWLCWS project on Summit Road. More specifically, I was asked if water lines were being installed or replaced due to the new high school construction. The answer is no, the water project has nothing to do with the new high school as we were prepared to tap the water line from Mink that serves the current high school (the same as the sewer line that is being tapped); however, if SWLCWS completes their project we may tap from Summit because it may be more convenient. Specific to the latter, SWLCWS has asked the school district for an easement to install water lines beyond the right of way. Nonetheless, we will know more in the future, but again, the new high school has nothing to do with the water project – other than the easement request.

Specific to the slides, apparently there are some additional questions about the building project, including the roof. To be clear, the district has discussed flat versus pitched roofs. Attached is a document titled "Comparison Elevations." Within this document it shows comparisons from board meetings on July 17 and August 1. The August 1 rendition is the most current design and is still in place. The roof redesign has been through three versions (July 17 and August 1) are the latest. In the end, the re-pitched roof will cost about \$250,000 more than flat roofs, but long term the pitched roof design is expected to be better for the district and minimizing roof leaks. Furthermore, I was recently asked by a community member if there is a \$1,000,000 difference in the pitched roof design. The answer is no, but the material used to cover the roof is a significant cost. In fact, it may be up to \$900,000 more to cover the roofs in steel, compared with a membrane product, but the warranty is not as long with the membrane product and maintenance costs are projected to be more. Also, note I have used words like "about" "may be" etc. These conditional words are purposeful because costs are projected and may change + or – because of market conditions. Moreover, we are currently within budget projections, so no additional money is needed for the project. In fact, we expect to partner with the SWL Schools to potentially save some material and/or labor costs.

Finally, note I have attached copies of the site plan and a look at the first and second floors of the building, which were also shared at the Chamber Meeting.

Let me know if there are any questions about the contents of this email or attachments. Otherwise, I will see you at the September 11 BZA Meeting.

Thanks,

Philip Wagner

Philip H. Wagner, Ph.D.

Superintendent

Licking Heights Local Schools

6539 Summit Road, S.W.

Pataskala, Ohio 43062

www.lhschools.org

LICKING HEIGHTS LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT NEW HIGH SCHOOL



