

# CITY OF PATASKALA BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

City Hall, Council Chambers 621 West Broad Street Pataskala, Ohio 43062

#### STAFF REPORT

March 9, 2021

#### Variance Application VA-21-009

**Applicant:** Timothy & Cynthia O'Cain **Owner:** Timothy & Cynthia O'Cain

**Location:** 3560 Mink St SW, Pataskala, OH 43602

Acreage: 2.00-acres

**Zoning:** R-87 – Medium-Low Density Residential

**Request:** Requesting approval of three (3) variances. Two (2) to allow for a lot split that

does not meet the minimum size or width, and one (1) to allow for reduced

front yard setback of a new primary structure.

## **Description of the Request:**

Requesting approval of three (3) variances. Two (2) variances from Section 1229.05(B) of the Pataskala Code to allow for a lot split that will not meet the required minimum lot size and width, and one (1) from Section 1229.05(C)(1) of the Pataskala Code to allow for a reduced front yard setback of a primary structure.

#### **Staff Summary:**

The 2.00-acre property located at 3560 Mink Street SW is currently occupied by a 1,684-square foot single-family home built in 1900, and small shed to the north of the existing principal structure. The existing home is set back from the front property line 52-feet, and 6-feet from the south side property line. Access to the property is via a gravel driveway between the existing home and shed onto Mink Street SW. The lot dimensions are approximately 200-feet at the front (east), 434.34-feet on the north side, 183.71-feet on the west, and 513.4-feet on the south side.

The Applicant is proposing to split the existing single lot into two (2) separate lots, and eventually construct a new single-family home on the newly created lot, behind the existing single-family home. The newly created lot will be a flag lot, meaning that the buildable portion of the property is at the end of a narrow portion connecting it to the right-of-way. The proposed newly created lot will be 1.00-acres in size, approximately 185-feet wide at the buildable portion, with an approximately 296-foot by 54-foot strip connecting it to the right-of-way.

On this new lot, the Applicant would like to construct a new single-family home, 30-feet by 66-feet in size. When flag lots are created, the front yard setback is based off the interior property line parallel to the right-of-way, and not from the right-of-way itself. The proposed setbacks of this new home are 50-feet from the front (interior) property line, 30-feet from the north side property line, 79.3-feet from the rear property line, and 87.7-feet from the south side property line. The proposed driveway will extend from the new home, through the narrow strip (the "pole" of the flag lot) to the right-of-way. The proposed new home will utilize a water well and septic system.

The remaining property will be 1.00-acres and size, approximately 140-feet wide by 300-feet deep and containing the existing single-family home.

As stated in the Applicant's Narrative Statement, the purpose of this variance is to allow for a family member to build a new single-family home near their immediate family. The Applicant believes the requested variance will not substantially alter the surrounding characteristics of the neighborhood, will not impair the development of adjacent properties, will not be detrimental to public welfare, and that they believe the variance requested is not substantial. Further stated, they were not aware of the zoning restriction when the property was purchased.

#### **Staff Review:**

The following summary does not constitute recommendations but merely conclusions and suggestions from the Staff Review, the full text of which follows the summary.

# Planning and Zoning Staff:

The 2.00-acre property is currently zoned R-87 – Medium-Low Density Residential. Pursuant to Section 1229.05(B) of the Pataskala Code, every lot within this zoning district shall have a minimum width of 200-feet throughout and a minimum lot area of not less than two (2) acres. The proposed lot split would create two (2) lots, both of which would meet neither of these requirements. As there will be two (2) separate lots that will not meet the minimum requirements, a variance request is required for each lot, this application being for the 'New" lot, and the Applicant has also requested variances for the 'remainder' lot, which are in VA-21-010. The first two (2) variance requests of this application are for lot size and width:

The proposed 'New' lot will be 1.00-acres in size; Therefore, the first variance request is for 1.00-acre, or a 50% decrease from the minimum requirement of Section 1229.05(B).

The proposed 'New' lot will have a minimum lot width of 54.52-feet at the right-of-way; Therefore, the second variance request is for 145.48-feet, or a 72.74% decrease from the minimum requirement of Section 1229.05(B).

The Applicant is also proposing to construct a new single-family home on the newly created lot. Pursuant to Section 1229.05(C)(1) of the Pataskala Code, the required front yard setback for principal structures is 75-feet. The proposed new home will only be 50-feet from the interior front lot line of the flag lot; Therefore, the third variance request is for a 25-foot, or 33.33% reduction of the required front yard setback.

Should the Variance be approved, the Applicant will require a Minor Subdivision Application for the lot split, and a New Residential Construction Application for the new home. From the information provided with the Variance Application, Staff has no other concerns with the proposal.

Other Departments and Agencies

No other comments received.

#### **Surrounding Area:**

Direction	Zoning	Land Use		
North	R-87 – Medium-Low Density Residential	Single-Family Home		
East	R-87 – Medium-Low Density Residential AG – Agricultural	Single-Family Home Agricultural		
South	R-87 – Medium-Low Density Residential	Single-Family Home		
West	R-87 – Medium-Low Density Residential	Single-Family Home		

#### **Variance Requirements:**

According to Section 1211.07(1) of the Pataskala Code, the Board of Zoning appeals shall consider the following factors when determining if an area variance is warranted:

- a. Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or if there can be a beneficial use of the property;
- b. Whether there are unique physical circumstances or conditions that prohibit the property being developed in strict conformity with the zoning regulation such that a variance is necessary to enable the reasonable use of the property;
- c. Whether the variance requested is substantial;
- d. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or the adjoining properties would suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance;
- e. Whether the variance, if granted, will substantially or permanently impair the appropriate use or development of adjacent property;
- f. Whether the variance, if granted, will be detrimental to the public welfare;
- g. Whether the variance, if granted, would adversely affect the delivery of government services;
- h. Whether the property owner purchased the subject property with knowledge of the zoning restriction;
- *i.* Whether the property owner's predicament con be obviated through some other method than variance;
- j. Whether the variance, if granted, will represent the minimum variance that will afford relief and represent the least modification possible of the requirement at issue; and,
- k. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting the variance.

Furthermore, Section 1211.07(2) allows other factors to be considered, including comments from City staff, when determining if an area variance is warranted. The following factors from Section 1211.07(2) are applicable to Variance Application VA-21-009:

• 1211.07(2)(a): To permit any yard or setback less than the yard or setback required by the applicable regulation.

#### **Department and Agency Review**

- Zoning Inspector No comments
- Public Service No comments
- City Engineer No comments
- Licking County Health Department No comments
- Police Department No comments
- West Licking Joint Fire District No comments
- Licking Heights School District No comments

#### **Conditions:**

Should the Board choose to approve the applicant's request, the following modifications may be considered:

• The Applicant shall obtain all necessary permits from the City of Pataskala and the Licking County Building Department within one (1) year of the date of approval.

#### **Resolution:**

For your convenience, the following resolution may be considered by the Board of Zoning Appeals when making a motion:

"I move to approve two (2) variances from Section 1229.05(B) of the Pataskala Code, and a variance from Section 1229.05(C)(1) for Variance Application VA-21-009 ("with the following conditions" if conditions are to be placed on the approval)."



# CITY OF PATASKALA PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

621 West Broad Street, Suite 2A Pataskala, Ohio 43062

# **VARIANCE APPLICATION**

(Pataskala Codified Ordinances Chapter 1211)

Property Information						Staff Use
Address: 3560 Mink Jt	5W	New Lot	(LOT	2)		Application Number:
	31402404	1000				VA-21-009
Zoning: R-		Acres:		1		Fee:
Water Supply:						\$300.00
☐ City of Pataskala	☐ South West Licking		On Site		Filing Date:	
Wastewater Treatment:						2111/2021
☐ City of Pataskala					Hearing Date:	
a city of ratesials	Total Control					31312021
Applicant Information		10 O Y	ilajan kijai.			Receipt Number:
Name: Timothy & Cynthia O'Cain						21680
Address:	3540 Mink Street SW					
City: Patasl		State:	Ohio	Zip:	43062	Documents
Phone: 740-927-1599(H) 61		Email:	tocain4	1@am	ail.com	Application
Filone. 740-927-1000(11) 0	14-020 00 10 (001)			. 63		☐ Fee
Property Owner Information						☐ Narrative
Name: Timothy & Cynthia O'Cain					Site Plan	
Address:	3540 Mink Street SW					<b>Deed</b>
City: Patask		State:	Ohio	Zip:	43062	🖼 Area Map
Phone: 740-927-1599(H) 614-323-6310 (Cel) Email: tocain41@gmail.com						
111011011   10 021 10 00(1.)	,					
Variance Information	NI BOLL N. S.	A Wall				
Request (Include Section of C	ode):					
1. Va	riance from Sectior	1229.05	(B) to create a	a lot less	s than 2-acres i	in size.
2 \/s	riance from Section	n 1229 05	(B) to create	a lot les	s than 200-feet	
Describe the Project: 3.	129.05(0)(1)	reduces	font	yard	setback.	
The creation of a 1 acre Flag Split f	for the purpose of buildin	g a new hom	ne for Son and Da	aughter in	-law Damen/Briann	na O'Cain and their infant daugh
The home would be situated bety						

#### **Documents to Submit**

Variance Application: Submit 1 copy of the variance application.

Narrative Statement: Submit 1 copy of a narrative statement explaining the following:

- The reason the variance is necessary
- The specific reasons why the variance is justified as it pertains to Section 1211.07 of the Pataskala Code:
  - a) Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or if there can be a beneficial use of the property without the variance;
  - Whether there are unique physical circumstances or conditions that prohibit the property from being developed in strict conformity with the zoning regulation such that a variance is necessary to enable the reasonable use of the property;
  - c) Whether the variance requested is substantial;
  - d) Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or adjoining properties would suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance;
  - e) Whether the variance, if granted, will substantially or permanently impair the appropriate use or development of adjacent property;
  - f) Whether the variance, if granted, will be detrimental to the public welfare;
  - g) Whether the variance, if granted, would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services;
  - h) Whether the property owner purchased the subject property with knowledge of the zoning restriction;
  - i) Whether the property owner's predicament can be obviated through some other method than variance;
  - j) Whether the variance, if granted, will represent the minimum variance that will afford relief and represent the least modification possible of the requirement at issue; and,
  - k) Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting the variance.
- A use variance must also meet the requirements described in Section 1211.07(B) of the Pataskala Code.

Site Plan: Submit 1 copy (unless otherwise directed by staff) of a site plan to scale of the subject property indicating the following:

- All property lines and dimensions
- Location and dimensions of all existing and proposed buildings and structures.
- Setbacks from property lines for all existing and proposed buildings, structures and additions
- Easements and rights-of-way
- Driveways
- Floodplain areas
- Location of existing wells and septic/aerator systems.
- Any other information deemed necessary for the variance request

**Deed:** Provide a copy of the deed for the property with any deed restrictions. Deeds can be obtained from the Licking County Recorder's website here: <a href="https://apps.lcounty.com/recorder/recording-search/">https://apps.lcounty.com/recorder/recording-search/</a>

Area Map: Submit 1 copy of an area map showing the property and the surrounding area. Area maps can be obtained from the Licking County Auditor's website here: <a href="https://www.lickingcountyohio.us/">https://www.lickingcountyohio.us/</a>

Signatures	A Strain Branch Branch Branch & C. S. E. C.
I certify the facts, statements and information provided on and attacknowledge. Also, I authorize City of Pataskala staff to conduct site vito this variance request.	ched to this application are true and correct to the best of my sits and photograph the property as necessary as it pertains
Applicant (Required):	Date:
The Ola	2/11/2021
Property Owner (Required):	Date: 2/11/2021

#### **Narrative for New Lot**


We are requesting the approval for the lot split on the present lot, 3560 Mink St, SW, Pataskala, Ohio, 43062 to construct our first family home. With the current size of the property, it has the capacity to fit another household, with little to no modifications to the current property or the nearby residences. By doing so, we would be fortunate enough to build our dream home, near immediate and extended family. This would allow our family to remain in the city we grew up in and for our future generations to do so as well. We have only hoped this would be accessible to us years ago, and we hope now it could be our reality.

The specific reasons why the variance is justified as it pertains to Section 1211.07 of the Pataskala Code:

- a) Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or if there can be a beneficial use of the property without the variance;
   No- Variance needed to allow for the construction of a new family home.
- b) Whether there are unique physical circumstances or conditions that prohibit the property from being developed in strict conformity with the zoning regulation such that a variance is necessary to enable the reasonable use of the property;

  None
- c) Whether the variance requested is substantial; No The current requirement is a minimum lot size of 2-acres, splitting the lot in half to create two 1-acre lots would allow for both the remaining and proposed new lots to have enough comfortable space for both. The proposed Split follows the pattern of several lots in the general area/
- d) Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or adjoining properties would suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance; No- The new construction would fit into the current family living environment. The location of the new construction would not hinder the view nor alter the use of any of the surrounding properties.
- e) Whether the variance, if granted, will substantially or permanently impair the appropriate use or development of adjacent property; No – All surrounding lots will continue having comfortable space to the existing.
- f) Whether the variance, if granted, will be detrimental to the public welfare; No Granting the variance would enhance public welfare. By allow for the construction of a family home, the area will remain a solid residential area. As with other nearby lots, family will remain in close proximity to each other.

- g) Whether the variance, if granted, would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services;
  - No The variance would it affect the delivery of any government services.
- h) Whether the property owner purchased the subject property with knowledge of the zoning restriction;
  - No Same owner
- i) Whether the property owner's predicament can be obviated through some other method than variance:
  - No The variance would be the best solution for allowing for the construction of a new single family home that will be occupied by the son and daughter-in-law of the current owners, Timothy and Cynthia O'Cain, who would be next door.
- j) Whether the variance, if granted, will represent the minimum variance that will afford relief and represent the least modification possible of the requirement at issue; and, Yes – The variance would be the best solution for allowing for the construction of a new single family home that will be occupied by the son and daughter-in-law of the current owners, Timothy and Cynthia O'Cain, who would be next door.
- Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting the variance.
   Yes-All rules and guidance would be followed.



# Instr: 1998 10090038489 10/09/1996 Pages: 3 Fee: \$18.00 Robert Hise 10000039631 MEPMARKET

# GENERAL WARRANTY DEED

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that, Valerie S. Lambert, Trustee, of Licking County, Ohio, for Ten Dollars (\$10.00) and other good and valuable consideration paid, grants, with general warranty covenants, to Cynthia L. O'Cain and Timothy O'Cain, husband and wife, for their joint lives, remainder to the survivor of them, the following described real property situated in the State of Ohio, County of Licking and in the Village of Pataskala:

Being an approximate 3.341 acre tract and an approximate 2.000 acre tract more particularly described on Exhibit "A" and Exhibit "B", respectively, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Parent Parcel Number: 63-140424-04.000

SEC.319.202 COMPLIED WITH GEOBORD BUCHANAN, AUDITOR

Subject to easements, conditions, covenants, restrictions and reservations of record, zoning ordinances and legal highways, and real estate taxes and assessments not yet due and payable.

Prior Instrument Reference: Official Record \_\_\_\_\_, Page \_\_\_\_, Recorder's Office, Licking County, Ohio.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, <u>Valeris S. Lambert Trustee</u> has caused this instrument to be executed this <u>25th</u> day of August, 1998.

Signed and acknowledged in the presence of:

Spura Sheets

STATE OF OHIO

ISS.

COUNTY OF FRANKLIN

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 25th day of August, 1998 by Valerie S. Lamber Trustee.

Notary Publi

Gloria J. Johnson

My Commission Expires 3-27-2003

This instrument prepared by:

Robert C. Roesch, II, Esq. 555 South Front Street, Suite 400 Columbus, Ohio 43215

#### 3.341 ACRE TRACT

Situated in the State of Ohio, County of Licking, Village of Pataskala, being located in Section 4, Township 1, Range 15, United States Military Lands and being part of the Cynthia L. O'Cain 5.341 acre tract, of record in Official Record 175, Page 846 and Official Record 312, Page 144, all references being to records in the Recorder's Office, Licking County, Ohio and bounded and described as follows:

Beginning for reference at the Intersection of the centerline of Mink Street (County Road 41), with the centerline of Graham Road (Township Road 159);

thence along said centerline of Mink Street, the following courses and distances:

South 2° 08' 16" West, a distance of 285.60 feet to an angle point in said centerline; and

South 21° 13' 49" East, a distance of 1197.58 feet to a railroad spike found at the northeasterly corner of said Cynthia L. O'Cain 5.341 acre tract, being the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING and the northeasterly corner of the tract herein intended to be described;

thence South 19" 41' 30' East, along said centerline of Mink Street, a distance of 99.99 feet to a railroad spike set;

thence North 86° 11' 15" West, a distance of 434.34 feet to an iron pin set;

thence South 34 48' 45" West, a distance of 183.71 feet to an iron pin set in the northerly line of the Derwin J. and Cynthia Morris 5.006 acre tract, of record in Official Record 131, Page 43;

thence North 86° 11' 15° West, along said northerly line of the Derwin J. and Cynthia Morris 5.006 acre tract, a distance of 390.29 feet to an iron pin found at an angle point in said line;

thence North 3° 48' 45° East, along an easterly line of said 5.006 acre tract, a distance of 275.40 feet to an iron pin set in the southerly line of the William Geiger, et al 33.506 acre tract, of record in Official Records 255, Page 666, 424, Page 26 and 476, Page 158;

thence South 86° 11' 15" East, along said southerly line of the 33.506 acre tract and the southerly line of the Nathan and Kimberly Hilderbrand 2 acre tract, of record in Official Record 748, Page 436, a distance of 784.75 feet (passing an iron pin at 752.29 feet) to the point of beginning, containing 3.341 acres, more or less.

Subject, however, to all legal rights-of-ways and/or easements, if any, of previous record.

Bearings contained herein are based on the same meridian as the bearing of the centerline of Mink Street (South 19° 41' 30° East) of record in Official Record 175, Page 846.

EVANS, MECHWART, HAMBLETON & TILTON, INC.

THOMAS OF SIBBALDS SO SOOS OF STREET

Thomas D. Sibbalds Registered Surveyor No. 5908

# EXHIBIT "A" - LEGAL DESCRIPTION

Situated in the State of Ohio, County of Licking and in the Village of Pataskala:

Being located in Section 4, Township 1, Range 15, United States Military Lands and being part of the Cynthia L. O'Cain 5.341 acre tract of record in Official Record 175, page 846 and Official Record 312, page 144, all references being the records in the Recorder's Office, Licking County, Ohio and bounded and described as follows:

Beginning for reference at the intersection of the centerline of Mink Street (County Road 41), with the centerline of Graham Road (Township Road 159);

thence along said centerline of Mink Street, the following courses and distances:

South 2 degrees 08' 16" West, a distance of 285.60 feet to an angle point in said centerline;

South 21 degrees 13' 49" East, a distance of 1197.58 feet to a railroad spike found at the northeasterly corner of said Cynthia L. O'Cain 5.341 acre tract; and

South 19 degrees 41' 30" East, a distance of 99.99 feet to a railroad spike set at the True Point of Beginning and the northeasterly corner of the tract herein intended to be described;

thence South 19 degrees 41' 30" East, continuing along said centerline, a distance of 174.73 feet to a railroad spike found at an angle point in said centerline;

thence South 17 degrees 57' 32" East, continuing along said centerline, a distance of 25.28 feet to a railroad spike found at the northeasterly corner of the Derwin J. and Cynthia Morris 5.006 acre tract, of record in Official Record 131, page 43;

thence North 86 degrees 11' 15" West, along the northerly line of the Derwin J. and Cynthia Morris 5.006 acre tract (passing an iron pin found at 32.30 feet), a distance of 513.40 feet to an iron pin set;


thence North 3 degrees 48' 45" East, a distance of 183.71 feet to an iron pin set;


thence South 86 degrees 11' 15" East, a distance of 434.34 feet to the point of beginning, containing 2.000 acres more or less.

Bearings contained herein are based on the same meridian as the bearing of the centerline of Mink Street (South 19 degrees 41' 30" East) of record in Official Record 175, page 846.

Evans, Mechwart, Hambleton & Tilton, Inc.

Thomas D. Sibbalds, Registered Surveyor No. 5908.





Street Number Only February 8, 2021 0

Sales - 2021

0

Owner Name & Acres

centenine Labels

County Road

Interstate/US/State Route

-0 Township road Other kuau Type MES

Interstates

Municipal Corporations

Jurisdictional Townships

Licking County Auditor GIS --- Driveway